

CODE OF PUBLICATION ETHICS

“EUROPEAN UNIVERSITY” JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC ARTICLES

1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 1.1. This code of ethics is written to provide some ethical and academic integrity requirements and criteria in the process of scientific publications in "European University" Journal of Scientific Articles (hereinafter, the Journal).
- 1.2. This code of ethics draws heavily on the following codes of conduct by the European University of Armenia and on the laws of the Republic of Armenia: the Law of the Republic of Armenia on Education, the Law of the Republic of Armenia on Higher and Postgraduate Professional Education, the Code of Ethics (European University of Armenia, hereinafter "EUA"), Code of Academic Integrity (EUA).
- 1.3. Ethical standards for publication exist to ensure high-quality scientific publications.
- 1.4. This code of ethics is written to provide guidance to editors, authors, and reviewers (hereinafter, "Stakeholders").
- 1.5. The EUA scientific council has approved this code of ethics, as well as any amendments or additions to it.

2. ETHICAL STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS

2.1 Authors and Co-Authors should;

- a. refrain from possible violations of integrity
- b. not plagiarize the work of others and present it as their own
- c. provide scientifically and technically sound scientific output
- d. comply with the requirements of scientific writing, refrain from psycho-emotional, sensual, offensive and other non-scientific formulations
- e. refrain from reflecting one's own narrow political, group interest, or other ambitions contrary to science.

- f. ensure the originality of the scientific work
provide original, new results, data, ideas, or interpretations, that have not been previously published or are under consideration for publication elsewhere.
- g. give references properly, indicating the source of original hypotheses, the name of the work, the publisher, the number of pages,
- h. avoid extensive quotations and extracts that unnecessarily burden the content of the article.
- i. not to allow falsification of data and facts
- j. refrain from unnecessary repetition of previous scientific results.
- k. identify the co-authors or individuals who made significant contributions to the development of the scientific result.
- l. respect the views of reviewers and dissenters
- m. revise and correct flaws and errors within reasonable limits.

2.2 The article under publication is not subject to being withdrawn from publication by the author.

2.3 In cases of non-compliance with the established requirements, the scientific work is rejected or sent back to the author for review.

2.4 Authors are responsible for the credibility and authenticity of the scientific output they present.

2.5 Reviewers and editors should;

- a. give articles unbiased consideration
- b. not discriminate against authors on nationality, gender, religious and political beliefs, and/or other impermissible circumstances.
- c. approach the work scientifically without destructive and unnecessary remarks.
- d. not publish the submitted scientific article or otherwise make it available to a third party if the latter is not a reviewer.